CONTENTS

FIGURES vii
TABLES ix
ACRONYMS xi

1. INTRODUCTION 1
   1.1 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR AGENCY ACTION 1
   1.2 SCOPE OF THIS ASSESSMENT 2
      1.2.1 PCB Waste 7
      1.2.2 Low-Level Waste 7
      1.2.3 Mixed Low-Level Waste 7
      1.2.4 TRU Waste 7
      1.2.5 DMSA Waste 8

2. PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES 9
   2.1 PROPOSED ACTION 9
      2.1.1 Storage 9
      2.1.2 On-Site Treatment 9
      2.1.3 Off-site Treatment 10
      2.1.4 Waste Transport 10
      2.1.5 Waste Disposal 11
      2.1.6 Waste Disposition Supporting Activities 11
      2.1.7 DMSA Characterization 12
   2.2 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 12
      2.2.1 Storage 12
      2.2.2 On-Site treatment 12
      2.2.3 Off-site treatment 13
      2.2.4 Waste Transport 13
      2.2.5 Waste Disposal 13
      2.2.6 Waste Disposition Supporting Activities 13
      2.2.7 DMSA Characterization 13
   2.3 ENHANCED STORAGE ALTERNATIVE 13
      2.3.1 Storage 13
      2.3.2 On-Site treatment 14
      2.3.3 Off-site treatment 14
      2.3.4 Waste Transport 14
      2.3.5 Waste Disposal 14
      2.3.6 Waste Disposition Supporting Activities 14
      2.3.7 DMSA Characterization 14
   2.4 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT DISMISSED 14
      2.4.1 On-Site Treatment of All Wastes 14
      2.4.2 Off-Site Treatment of All Wastes 14
      2.4.3 On-Site Disposal of All Wastes 15

3. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 17
   3.1 LAND USE 17
   3.2 GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY 17
      3.2.1 Geology 17
      3.2.2 Seismicity 18

3.3 SOILS AND PRIME FARMLAND 18
3.3.1 Soils 18
3.3.2 Prime Farmland 19
3.4 WATER RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY 19
3.4.1 Water Resources 19
3.4.2 Water Quality 19
3.4.3 Groundwater 20
3.4.4 Floodplains 20
3.4.5 Wetlands 20
3.5 ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES 20
3.5.1 Vegetation 20
3.5.2 Wildlife 21
3.5.3 Threatened and Endangered Species 22
3.5.4 Parks and Scenic Rivers 25
3.6 NOISE 25
3.7 CULTURAL, ARCHAEOLOGICAL, AND NATIVE AMERICAN RESOURCES 27
3.8 CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY 27
3.8.1 Climate 27
3.8.2 Air Quality and Applicable Regulations 27
3.8.3 Ambient Air Monitoring Near the Paducah Site 27
3.9 SOCIOECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 30
3.9.1 Socioeconomics 30
3.9.2 Environmental Justice 30
3.10 TRANSPORTATION 31
3.10.1 Transportation Routes from the Paducah Site 31
3.10.2 Truck Routes from the Paducah Site to Treatment and Disposal Sites 31
3.10.3 Rail Routes from the Paducah Site to Treatment and Disposal Sites 38

4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 49
4.1 IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 49
4.1.1 Resource Impacts 49
4.1.2 On-Site Accident Analysis and Human Health Impacts 56
4.1.3 Transportation Impacts 61
4.1.4 On-site Treatment Impacts 69
4.1.5 DMSA Characterization 71
4.2 IMPACTS OF THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 72
4.2.1 Resource Impacts 72
4.2.2 Radiological and Nonradiological Impacts 74
4.2.3 Accident Analysis 75
4.2.4 Comparison of Accident Risks 76
4.2.5 Transportation Impacts 76
4.2.6 On-Site Treatment Impacts 76
4.3 IMPACTS OF THE ENHANCED STORAGE ALTERNATIVE 76
4.3.1 Resource Impacts 76
4.3.2 Radiological and Nonradiological Impacts from the Enhanced Storage Alternative 79
4.3.3 Accident Analysis of the Enhanced Storage Alternative 79
4.3.4 Comparison of Accident Risks 80
4.3.5 Transportation Impacts 80
4.3.6 On-Site Treatment Impacts 80

5. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 81
5.1 PADUCAH SITE ACTIVITIES 81
5.1.1 Environmental Management Program 81
5.1.2 Uranium Program 82
5.1.3 UF_6 Cylinder Storage 82
5.1.4 Depleted UF_6 Conversion Facility 83
5.1.5 Disposal of Nonradioactive Wastes Containing Residual Radioactivity at the C-746-U Landfill 83
5.1.6 Long-Term Management Plan for DOE's Inventory of Potentially Reusable Uranium 83
5.1.7 USEC Programs 83
5.2 OTHER REGIONAL INDUSTRIES ACTIVITIES 83
5.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS FROM THE PROPOSED ACTION 84
5.3.1 Land Use 84
5.3.2 Air Quality 84
5.3.3 Soil and Water Resources 84
5.3.4 Ecological Resources 84
5.3.5 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice 85
5.3.6 Infrastructure and Support Activities 85
5.3.7 Human Health and Accidents 85
5.4 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS FROM THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 86
5.4.1 Land Use 86
5.4.2 Air Quality 86
5.4.3 Soil and Water Resources 86
5.4.4 Ecological Resources 86
5.4.5 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice 86
5.4.6 Infrastructure and Support Activities 87
5.4.7 Human Halth and Acidents 87
5.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS FROM THE ENHANCED STORAGE ALTERNATIVE 87
5.5.1 Human Health and Accidents 87
5.6 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS COMPARISON 88

6. REFERENCES 89

APPENDIX A LIST OF PREPARERS A-1
APPENDIX B PERSONS AND AGENCIES CONTACTED B-1
APPENDIX C ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENT IMPACTS TO NATURAL RESOURCES C-1
APPENDIX D WILDLIFE SPECIES OCCURRING AT THE PADUCAH SITE D-1
APPENDIX E CONSULTATION LETTERS AND RESPONSES E-1
APPENDIX F BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED DISPOSITION OF WASTES AT THE PADUCAH SITE, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY F-1
APPENDIX G ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENT IMPACTS TO HUMANS G-1
APPENDIX H TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENT ANALYSIS H-1
APPENDIX I ANALYSIS OF WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY AIRBORNE CHEMICAL RELEASES I-1
APPENDIX J ANALYSIS OF ON-SITE TREATMENT OF LLW AND TRU WASTE J-1
APPENDIX K EVALUATION OF THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE K-1
APPENDIX L PUBLIC COMMENT RESPONSE TABLE L-1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

FIGURES

3.1 Wind rose patterns of wind speed frequency and directional wind speed at the Barkley Airport. 28
3.2 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Andrews, Texas. 32
3.3 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Deer Park, Texas. 33
3.4 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Hanford, Washington. 34
3.5 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Clive, Utah. 35
3.6 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Mercury, Nevada. 36
3.7 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 37
3.8 Representative route for transportation of waste by truck from Paducah, Kentucky, to Atomic City, Idaho. 39
3.9 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Hobbs, New Mexico. 40
3.10 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Strang, Texas. 41
3.11 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Hanford, Washington. 42
3.12 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Clive, Utah. 43
3.13 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Las Vegas, Nevada. 44
3.14 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 45
3.15 Representative route for transportation of waste by rail from Paducah, Kentucky, to Scoville, Idaho. 46

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TABLES

1.1 Paducah EA waste information 1
1.2 Additional DOE documents addressing Paducah Site wastes 3
1.3 Summary of Waste Management PEIS Record of Decisions (ROD) Issued to Date for Paducah Site Waste Types 5
3.1 Commonwealth of Kentucky threatened, endangered, and "special concern" animal species known from McCracken County, Kentucky 26
3.2 Commonwealth of Kentucky threatened, endangered, and "special concern" plant species known from McCracken County, Kentucky 26
3.3 Commonwealth of Kentucky ambient air quality standards and highest background levels representative of the Paducah area 29
3.4 Highway route distances from the Paducah Site to each proposed destination 31
3.5 Potentially exposed populations along highway routes from the Paducah Site to each proposed destination 31
3.6 Rail route distances from the Paducah Site to each proposed destination 47
3.7 Potentially exposed populations along railway routes from the Paducah Site to each proposed destination 47
4.1 Airborne source term risks 59
4.2 Liquid source term risks 59
4.3 Vehicle impact accident risks 60
4.4 Calculated concentrations of HCl and PCB soot resulting from a PCB fire compared to standard benchmarks 61
4.5 Worst-case radiological impacts for truck shipments (to Mercury, NV) 64
4.6 Cargo-related impacts resulting from truck transportation accidents 65
4.7 Estimated fatalities from truck emissions and accidents (vehicle-related impacts) 66
4.8 Worst-case radiological impacts for rail shipments (to Mercury, Nevada) 66
4.9 Cargo-related impacts from rail transportation accidents 67
4.10 Estimated fatalities from rail-related accidents 68
4.11 Impacts on public health from normal operations of on-site treatment facility 70
4.12 Impacts on workers from normal operations of on-site treatment facility 70
5.1 Cumulative impacts comparison 88

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACRONYMS

AST	airborne source term
BCK	Bayou Creek kilometer
BJC	Bechtel Jacobs Company, LLC
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
CFR	*Code of Federal Regulations*
COE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CX	categorical exclusion
D&D	decommissioning and decontamination
DCG	derived concentration guide
DMSA	DOE Material Storage Area
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
EA	environmental assessment
EBE	evaluation-basis earthquake
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESD	Environmental Sciences Division
ETTP	East Tennessee Technology Park
FFCA	Federal Facility Compliance Agreement
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
HC1	hydrochloric acid
HDDV	heavy duty diesel-powered vehicle
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health
KAR	*Kentucky Administrative Regulations*
KDEP	Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
KDFWR	Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources
KPDES	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
KSNPC	Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission
LCD	Lower Continental Deposits
LCF	latent cancer fatality
LDR	land disposal restriction
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LST	liquid source term
LUK	Little Bayou Creek kilometer
LWD	lost workdays
MEI	maximally exposed individual (off-site individual at site boundary)
MEWC	Materials & Energy/Waste Control Specialists
MIW	maximally exposed involved worker
MLLW	mixed low-level waste
MSL	mean sea level
MUW	maximally exposed uninvolved worker
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NCS	Nuclear Criticality Safety
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Paducah Site	Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Site

PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PPE	personal protective equipment
PSD	prevention of significant deterioration
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
RGA	Regional Gravel Aquifer
ROD	Record of Decision
ROI	region of influence
RPCB	radiological polychlorinated biphenyl
SIP	state implementation plan
STP	Site Treatment Plan
TRE	toxicity reduction evaluation
TRU	transuranic
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
UCD	Upper Continental Deposits
UCRS	Upper Continental Recharge System
USEC	United States Enrichment Corporation
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WKWMA	West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area
WM-PEIS	Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant