TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents i
List of Figures ix
List of Tables xv
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations xlv
Chemicals and Units of Measure lii
Metric Conversion Chart and Metric Prefixes lv

Appendix A
Nonproliferation Policy and Joint Statement A–1

A.1 Nonproliferation and Export Control Policy Fact Sheet A–1
A.2 Nonproliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction and the Means of Their Delivery A–4

Appendix B
Building and Facility Specifications B–1

B.1 Storage Facilities B–1
B.2 Facilities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives B–18
B.3 Plutonium Disposition Facilities B–20

Appendix C
Materials, Resources, and Employment Requirements for Construction and Operations C–1

C.1 Construction Requirements C–1
C.1.1 Materials/Resources Required C–1
C.1.1.1 Long-Term Storage Alternatives C–1
C.1.1.2 Activities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–6
C.1.1.3 Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–8
C.1.2 Construction Worker Requirements C–12
C.1.2.1 Long-Term Storage Alternatives C–12
C.1.2.2 Activities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–17
C.1.2.3 Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–18
C.2 Requirements During Operations C–20
C.2.1 Utilities Required C–20
C.2.1.1 Long-Term Storage Alternatives C–20
C.2.1.2 Activities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–22
C.2.1.3 Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–23
C.2.2 Chemicals Utilized C–26
C.2.2.1 Long-Term Storage Alternatives C–26
C.2.2.2 Activities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–34
C.2.2.3 Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–35
C.2.3 Personnel Requirements C–39
C.2.3.1 Long-Term Storage Alternatives C–39
C.2.3.2 Activities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–41
C.2.3.3 Plutonium Disposition Alternatives C–42

Appendix D
Water Usage D–1

D.1 Storage Alternatives D–1
D.2 Facilities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives D–21
D.3 Disposition Alternatives D–24

Appendix E
Waste Management E–1

E.1 Overview E–1
E.1.1 Waste Categories E–1
E.1.2 Applicable Federal Statutes and Department of Energy Orders E–2
E.1.3 Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention E–6
E.1.4 Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal E–6
E.1.5 Transportation E–10
E.1.6 Facility Transition Management E–10
E.2 Waste Management Activities E–12
E.2.1 Hanford Site E–12
E.2.2 Nevada Test Site E–29
E.2.3 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–33
E.2.4 Pantex Plant E–49
E.2.5 Oak Ridge Reservation E–63
E.2.6 Savannah River Site E–97
E.3 Project-Specific Waste Management Activities E–113
E.3.1 Fissile Material Long-Term Storage Facilities E–113
E.3.1.1 Upgrade Alternative E–116
E.3.1.2 Consolidation Alternative E–128
E.3.1.3 Collocation Alternative E–139
E.3.2 Facilities Common to Multiple Plutonium Disposition Alternatives E–151
E.3.2.1 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility E–151
E.3.2.2 Plutonium Conversion Facility E–157
E.3.2.3 Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility E–162
E.3.3 Facilities to Support Final Disposition of Plutonium E–167
E.3.3.1 Direct Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex E–167
E.3.3.2 Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex E–171
E.3.3.3 Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Ceramic Immobilization Facility E–175
E.3.3.4 Vitrification Alternative E–179
E.3.3.5 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative E–184
E.3.3.6 Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) E–188
E.3.3.7 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative E–192

Appendix F
Air Quality and Noise F–1

F.1 Air Quality F–1
F.1.1 Methodology and Models F–1
F.1.2 Supporting Data F–2
F.1.2.1 Overview F–2
F.1.2.2 Hanford Site F–2
F.1.2.3 Nevada Test Site F–6
F.1.2.4 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory F–8
F.1.2.5 Pantex Plant F–11
F.1.2.6 Oak Ridge Reservation F–13

F.1.2.7 Savannah River Site F–16
F.1.2.8 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site F–17
F.1.2.9 Los Alamos National Laboratory F–19
F.1.3 Air Pollutant Emissions F–23
F.2 Noise F–31
F.2.1 Hanford Site F–31
F.2.2 Nevada Test Site F–32
F.2.3 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory F–32
F.2.4 Pantex Plant F–32
F.2.5 Oak Ridge Reservation F–32
F.2.6 Savannah River Site F–33
F.2.7 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site F–33
F.2.8 Los Alamos National Laboratory F–33

Appendix G
Intersite Transportation G–1

G.1 Transportation Risk Analysis Methodology G–1
G.1.1 Truck and Rail Transportation Risk G–1
G.1.2 Risk Associated With Port Handling and Global Commons for European Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication G–3
G.1.2.1 Port Transit and Intermodal Handling Analysis (Accident-Free Conditions) G–3
G.1.2.2 Port Transit and Intermodal Handling Analysis (Accident Conditions) G–3
G.1.2.3 Modal Considerations G–4
G.1.2.4 Port Handling Impacts G–5
G.1.2.5 Effects on the Global Commons G–6
G.1.2.6 Security Considerations in the Global Commons, and at European MOX Facilities G–7
G.2 Historical Intersite Transportation Shipment Data G–8
G.3 Highway distance G–8
G.4 Transportation Equipment G–8
G.5 6M, Type B Radioactive Material Shipment Packaging Test Sequence G–26
G.6 Safe Secure Transport G–26

Appendix H
High-Level Waste Forms Comparative Analysis H–1

H.1 Methodology H–1
H.2 Glass Form With Radionuclides H–1
H.2.1 Assumptions H–2
H.2.2 Characteristics H–2
H.2.3 Comparative Analysis H–2
H.3 Ceramic Immobilized Forms with Radionuclides H–4
H.3.1 Assumptions H–4
H.3.2 Characteristics H–6
H.3.3 Comparative Analysis H–6
H.4 Boiling Water Reactor—Mixed Oxide Burning Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel Form H–7
H.4.1 Assumptions H–7
H.4.2 Characteristics H–8
H.4.3 Comparative Analysis H–8
H.5 Pressurized Water Reactor—Mixed Oxide Burning Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel Form H–9

H.5.1 Assumptions H–9
H.5.2 Characteristics H–10
H.5.3 Comparative Analysis H–10
H.6 Glass-Bonded Zeolite Form H–10
H.6.1 Assumptions H–10
H.6.2 Characteristics H–11
H.6.3 Comparative Analysis H–11

Appendix I
Changes in Canadian DeuteriumUranium Reactor Operations I–1

Appendix J
Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Other Requirements J–1

Appendix K
Biological Resources K–1

Appendix L
Socioeconomics L–1
L.1 Introduction L–1

Appendix M
Health and Safety M–1
M.1 Introduction M–1
M.2 Radiological Impacts to Human Health During Normal Operations M–1
M.2.1 Background M–1
M.2.1.1 Nature of Radiation and Its Effects on Humans M–1
M.2.1.2 Health Effects M–5
M.2.2 Methodology for Estimating Radiological Impacts of Normal Operation M–8
M.2.2.1 GENII Computer Code M–8
M.2.2.2 Data and Assumptions M–9
M.2.2.3 Health Effects Calculations M–11
M.2.3 Storage and Disposition Facilities Information M–15
M.2.3.1 Radiological Releases to the Environment M–15
M.2.3.2 Radiological Impacts to In-Plant Workers M–16
M.2.4 Radiological Impacts at Hanford Site M–22
M.2.4.1 No Action M–22
M.2.4.2 Storage and Disposition M–30
M.2.5 Radiological Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–32
M.2.5.1 No Action M–38
M.2.5.2 Storage and Disposition M–38
M.2.6 Radiological Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–39
M.2.6.1 No Action M–47
M.2.6.2 Storage and Disposition M–51
M.2.7 Radiological Impacts at Pantex Plant M–52
M.2.7.1 No Action M–58
M.2.7.2 Storage and Disposition M–58
M.2.8 Radiological Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–60
M.2.8.1 No Action M–74
M.2.8.2 Storage and Disposition M–76

M.2.9 Radiological Impacts at Savannah River Site M–78
M.2.9.1 No Action M–89
M.2.9.2 Storage and Disposition M–94
M.2.10 Radiological Impact at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site M–95
M.2.11 Radiological Impact at Los Alamos National Laboratory M–95
M.2.12 Deep Borehole Disposition Generic Site M–95
M.2.13 Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site M–95
M.2.14 Existing Light-Water Reactor Generic Site M–95
M.2.15 Partially Completed Reactor Generic Site M–95
M.3 Hazardous Chemical Impacts to Human Health M–96
M.3.1 Background M–96
M.3.2 Chemical Toxicity Profiles M–98
M.3.3 Regulated Exposure Limits M–119
M.3.4 Hazardous Chemical Risk/Effects Calculations M–138
M.4 Human Health Studies: Epidemiology M–221
M.4.1 Background M–221
M.4.1.1 Study Designs M–221
M.4.1.2 Definitions M–222
M.4.2 Hanford Site M–224
M.4.3 Nevada Test Site M–230
M.4.4 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–233
M.4.5 Pantex Plant M–234
M.4.6 Oak Ridge Reservation M–235
M.4.7 Savannah River Site M–242
M.4.8 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site M–243
M.4.9 Los Alamos National Laboratory M–248
M.5 Facility Accidents M–251
M.5.1 Evaluation Methodologies and Assumptions M–251
M.5.1.1 Introduction M–251
M.5.1.1.1 Risk M–252
M.5.1.1.2 Uncertainties and Conservatism M–252
M.5.1.2 Safety Design Process M–253
M.5.1.3 Analysis Methodology M–254
M.5.1.3.1 Introduction M–254
M.5.1.3.2 MACCS Overview M–254
M.5.1.3.3 Methodology and Techniques M–255
M.5.1.3.4 Isotopic Spectra Used in the Storage and Disposition Accident Analyses M–256
M.5.2 Long-Term Storage Alternatives M–258
M.5.2.1 Consolidation of Plutonium Alternative M–258
M.5.2.1.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–258
M.5.2.1.2 Accident Impacts M–262
M.5.2.2 Collocation of Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Alternatives M–267
M.5.2.2.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–267
M.5.2.2.2 Accident Impacts M–270
M.5.2.3 Upgrade of Existing Interim Storage Facilities at Hanford Site M–277
M.5.2.4 Upgrade of Existing Interim Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–278
M.5.2.4.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–278
M.5.2.4.2 Accident Impacts M–283

M.5.2.5 Upgrade or Consolidation of Existing Interim Storage Facilities at Pantex Plant M–285
M.5.2.5.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–285
M.5.2.5.2 Accident Impacts M–292
M.5.2.5.3 Aircraft Crash M–292
M.5.2.6 Upgrade of Existing Interim Storage Facilities at Oak Ridge Reservation M–296
M.5.2.7 Upgrade of Existing Storage Facilities at Savannah River Site M–297
M.5.2.8 Nevada Test Site Storage Facility M–297
M.5.2.8.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–298
M.5.2.8.2 Accident Impacts M–302
M.5.3 Disposition Alternatives M–304
M.5.3.1 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–304
M.5.3.1.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–304
M.5.3.1.2 Accident Impacts M–308
M.5.3.2 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–315
M.5.3.2.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–315
M.5.3.2.2 Accident Impacts M–319
M.5.3.3 Direct Disposition Alternative for a Deep Borehole Complex M–326
M.5.3.3.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–326
M.5.3.3.2 Accident Impacts M–332
M.5.3.4 Immobilized Disposition Alternative for a Deep Borehole Complex M–334
M.5.3.4.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–334
M.5.3.4.2 Accident Impacts M–341
M.5.3.5 Vitrification Alternative M–344
M.5.3.5.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–344
M.5.3.5.2 Accident Impacts M–348
M.5.3.6 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–355
M.5.3.6.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–355
M.5.3.6.2 Accident Impacts M–361
M.5.3.7 Ceramic Immobilization Facility for Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–368
M.5.3.7.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–368
M.5.3.7.2 Accident Impacts M–374
M.5.3.8 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–381
M.5.3.8.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–381
M.5.3.8.2 Accident Impacts M–384
M.5.3.9 Plutonium Conversion M–391
M.5.3.9.1 Accident Scenarios and Source Terms M–391
M.5.3.9.2 Accident Impacts M–395
M.5.3.10 Existing Commercial and Partially Completed Light Water Reactors M–402
M.5.3.11 Contribution of Americium, Curium, and Plutonium to Reactor Accident Consequences M–407

Appendix N
Multipurpose Reactor N–1

N.1 Background N–1
N.2 New Multipurpose Reactors N–2
N.3 Advanced Light Water Reactor N–3
N.4 Modular Helium Reactor and Modular High Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor N–3

N.5 Cost Comparisons N–4
N.5.1 Advanced Light Water Reactor Costs N–4
N.5.2 Modular Helium Reactor Costs N–6
N.6 Fast Flux Test Facility N–7
N.7 Summary N–8

Appendix O
Can-in-Canister Variants O–1

O.1 Introduction O–1
O.2 Can-in-Canister Concept at Savannah River Site O–1
O.3 Vitrification Can-in-Canister Variant O–2
O.4 Ceramic Can-in-Canister Variant O–8
O.5 Repository Acceptance O–13
O.6 Tests and Demonstrations O–14

Appendix P
Manzano Storage Facility P–1

P.1 Manzano Weapons Storage Area P–2
P.2 Affected Environment and Environmental Impacts P–2
P.2.1 Land Resources P–2
P.2.2 Site Infrastructure P–2
P.2.3 Air Quality and Noise P–5
P.2.3.1 Air Quality Affected Environment P–5
P.2.3.2 Air Quality Environmental Impacts P–5
P.2.3.3 General Conformity Determination P–6
P.2.3.4 Noise P–7
P.2.4 Water Resources P–7
P.2.5 Geology and Soils P–7
P.2.6 Biotic Resources P–7
P.2.7 Cultural Resources P–7
P.2.8 Socioeconomic Resources P–8
P.2.9 Occupational and Public Health P–8
P.2.9.1 Affected Environment P–8
P.2.9.2 Environmental Impacts P–9
P.2.9.3 Aircraft Accidents P–12
P.2.10 Waste Management P–13
P.2.11 Intrasite Transportation P–13
P.2.12 Environmental Justice P–15
P.2.12.1 Affected Environment P–15
P.2.12.2 Environmental Impacts P–17

Appendix Q
Storage of Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium Pits at Pantex Plant Q–1

Q.1 Introduction Q–1
Q.2 Pit Transfer and Storage Q–1
Q.3 Zone 4 West Staging Operations Q–2
Q.4 Radiological Exposure from Zone 4 Storage Q–2
Q.5 Other Impacts from Zone 4 storage Q–4

Appendix R
Aircraft Crash Accident at Pantex Plant R–1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure D.1–1 Annual Water Balance for the Upgrade Alternative at Hanford Site. D–1

Figure D.1–2 Annual Water Balance for the Upgrade Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West. D–2

Figure D.1–3 Annual Water Balance for the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant. D–3

Figure D.1–4 Annual Water Balance for the Upgrade Alternative at Oak Ridge Reservation, Y–12 Plant. D–4

Figure D.1–5 Annual Water Balance for the Upgrade Alternative at Savannah River Site. D–5

Figure D.1–6 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative at Hanford Site. D–6

Figure D.1–7 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative Constructing a New Facility at Nevada Test Site. D–7

Figure D.1–8 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative Modifying P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site. D–8

Figure D.1–9 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. D–9

Figure D.1–10 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative Constructing a New Facility at Pantex Plant. D–10

Figure D.1–11 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative Modifying Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant. D–11

Figure D.1–12 Annual Water Balance for the Consolidation Alternative at Savannah River Site. D–12

Figure D.1–13 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative at Hanford Site. D–13

Figure D.1–14 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative Constructing a New Facility at Nevada Test Site. D–14

Figure D.1–15 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative Modifying P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site. D–15

Figure D.1–16 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. D–16

Figure D.1–17 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative at Pantex Plant. D–17

Figure D.1–18 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative Constructing a New Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation. D–18

Figure D.1–19 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative Constructing a New Plutonium Storage Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation; Maintain Existing Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facilities at Y–12 Plant. D–19

Figure D.1–20 Annual Water Balance for the Collocation Alternative at Savannah River Site. D–20

Figure D.2–1 Typical Water Balance for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility. D–22

Figure D.2–2 Typical Water Balance for the Plutonium Conversion Facility. D–22

Figure D.2–3 Typical Water Balance for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility. D–23

Figure D.3–1 Typical Water Balance for the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative. D–25

Figure D.3–2 Typical Water Balance for the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative. D–26

Figure D.3–3 Typical Water Balance for the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative. D–27

Figure D.3–4 Typical Water Balance for the Vitrification Alternative. D–28

Figure D.3–5 Typical Water Balance for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative. D–29

Figure D.3–6 Typical Water Balance for the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite). D–30

Figure D.3–7 Typical Water Balance for the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative. D–31

Figure E.2.1–1 Tank Waste Management at Hanford Site. E–13

Figure E.2.3–1 Spent Nuclear Fuel Management at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. E–34

Figure E.2.3–2 High-Level Waste Management at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. E–36

Figure E.2.3–3 Transuranic Waste Management at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. E–37

Figure E.2.3–4 Low-Level Waste Management at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. E–38

Figure E.2.3–5 Mixed Waste Management at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. E–40

Figure E.2.6–1 High-Level Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–101

Figure E.2.6–2 Transuranic Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–102

Figure E.2.6–3 Low-Level Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–103

Figure E.2.6–4 Mixed Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–104

Figure E.2.6–5 Hazardous Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–105

Figure E.2.6–6 Nonhazardous Waste Management at Savannah River Site. E–106

Figure E.3.1.1–1 Overall Waste Management System for the Upgrade Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West E–120

Figure E.3.1.1–2 Overall Waste Management System for the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant. E–121

Figure E.3.1.1–3 Overall Waste Management System for the Upgrade Alternative at Savannah River Site. E–122

Figure E.3.1.2–1 Overall Waste Management System for the Consolidation Alternative at Hanford Site, Nevada Test Site, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, or Pantex Plant. E–135

Figure E.3.1.2–2 Overall Waste Management System for the Consolidation Alternative at Savannah River Site. E–136

Figure E.3.1.2–3 Overall Waste Management System for the Consolidation Alternative—Modifying P-Tunnel and Constructing New Material Handling Building at Nevada Test Site. E–137

Figure E.3.1.2–4 Overall Waste Management System for the Consolidation Alternative—Modifying Existing and Constructing New Facility in Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant. E–138

Figure E.3.1.3–1 Overall Waste Management System for the Collocation Alternative at Hanford Site, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Nevada Test Site, or Pantex Plant. E–147

Figure E.3.1.3–2 Overall Waste Management System for the Collocation Alternative Constructing a New Plutonium Storage Facility; Maintaining or Upgrading Y–12 at Oak Ridge Reservation. E–148

Figure E.3.1.3–3 Overall Waste Management System for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation or Savannah River Site. E–149

Figure E.3.1.3–4 Overall Waste Management System for the Collocation Alternative—Modifying P-Tunnel and Constructing New Material Handling Building at Nevada Test Site. E–150

Figure E.3.2.1–1 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Solid Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–155

Figure E.3.2.1–2 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Liquid Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–156

Figure E.3.2.2–1 Plutonium Conversion Facility Waste Management Process Flow Diagram E–161

Figure E.3.2.3–1 Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Liquid Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–166

Figure E.3.2.3–2 Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Solid Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–166

Figure E.3.3.1–1 Direct Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex Disposal Facility Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–170

Figure E.3.3.2–1 Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex Disposal Facility Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–174

Figure E.3.3.3–1 Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Ceramic Immobilization Facility Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–178

Figure E.3.3.4–1 Vitrification Alternative—Vitrification Facility Waste Management Process Flow Diagram E–183

Figure E.3.3.5–1 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative—Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–187

Figure E.3.3.7–1 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative—Gaseous Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–196

Figure E.3.3.7–2 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative—Liquid Waste Management Process Flow Diagram. E–196

Figure F.1.2.2–1 Wind Distribution at Hanford Site, 1989-1990 (10-meter level). F–5

Figure F.1.2.3–1 Wind Distribution at Nevada Test Site, 1991 (10-meter level). F–7

Figure F.1.2.4–1 Wind Distribution at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1992 (10-meter level). F–9

Figure F.1.2.5–1 Wind Distribution at Amarillo, 1991 (10-meter level). F–11
Figure F.1.2.6–1 Wind Distribution at Oak Ridge Reservation, 1990 (30-meter level). F–14
Figure F.1.2.7–1 Wind Distribution at Savannah River Site, 1991 (61-meter level). F–16
Figure F.1.2.8–1 Wind Distribution at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, 1990 (61-meter level). F–19
Figure F.1.2.9–1 Wind Distribution at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1991 (11.5-meter level). F–21
Figure G.4–1 Typical Assembly of 6M, Type B Packaging for Plutonium (Other Than Pits) or Highly Enriched Uranium. G–20
Figure G.4–2 Cargo Restraint Transporter Loaded With Drums. G–21
Figure G.4–3 Assembly of FL, Type B Packaging for the Transport of Plutonium Pits. G–22
Figure G.4–4 AT-400A Packaging for the Transport of Plutonium Pits. G–23
Figure G.4–5 Representation of the BUSS Cask for the Transport of Cesium Material. G–24
Figure G.4–6 Diagram of a Representative Spent Fuel Cask with Similar Characteristics to Be Employed for the Transport of Immobilized Plutonium. G–25
Figure H.2.1–1 Schematic of Waste Package Containing Canisters of Plutonium Immobilized in Glass. H–3
Figure H.2.3–1 Thermal Comparison of Plutonium-Loaded Glass Waste Package Versus Defense Waste Processing Facility Glass Waste Package. H–4
Figure H.3.1–1 Schematic of Waste Package Containing Canisters of Plutonium Immobilized in Ceramic. H–5
Figure H.3.3–1 Thermal Comparison of Plutonium-Loaded Ceramic Waste Package Versus Defense Waste Processing Facility Glass Waste Package. H–7
Figure H.4.3–1 Effective Multiplication Factor (k_{eff}) of a Boiling Water Reactor Spent Fuel Waste Package. H–8
Figure H.4.3–2 Thermal Comparison of Peak Cladding Temperature of Boiling Water Reactor Fuel Element Versus Uranium-Based Reactor Fuel Element. H–9
Figure H.5.3–1 Thermal Comparison of Peak Cladding Temperature of Pressurized Water Reactor Fuel Element Versus Uranium-Based Reactor Fuel Element. H–11
Figure I–1 Mixed Oxide Fuel Cycle. I–2
Figure M.2.4–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Hanford Site. M–24
Figure M.2.5–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Nevada Test Site. M–34
Figure M.2.6–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. M–42
Figure M.2.7–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Pantex Plant. M–54
Figure M.2.8–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Oak Ridge Reservation. M–65
Figure M.2.9–1 Location of Release Points and Maximum Receptors at Savannah River Site. M–81
Figure O.3–1 Vitrification Can-in-Canister Variant Process. O–3

Figure O.3–2 Can-in-Canister Material Flow Diagram for Operations at Savannah River Site. O–4

Figure O.3–3 Savannah River Site F-Area Layout Showing Building 221-F. O–5

Figure O.3–4 Savannah River Site S-Area Layout Showing Defense Waste Processing Facility. O–7

Figure O.4–1 Ceramic Can-in-Canister Variant Process. O–10

Figure P.1–1 The Manzano Weapons Storage Area at Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico. P–3

Figure P.1–2 Layout of the Manzano Weapons Storage Area at Kirtland Air Force Base. P–4

Figure P.2.9.1–1 Offsite Populations in the Vicinity of the Manzano Weapons Storage Area. P–10

Figure P.2.9.1–2 Wind Direction and Speed at Albuquerque International Airport. P–11

Figure P.2.9.3–1 Type D Storage Facility at the Manzano Weapons Storage Area. P–14

Figure P.2.12.1–1 The Manzano Weapons Storage Area Region of Influence. P–16

Figure P.2.12.1–2 Minority and Low-Income Populations in the Manzano Weapons Storage Area Region of Influence. P–18

LIST OF TABLES

Table B.1–1 Facility Requirements for the Upgrade Alternative at Hanford Site B–1

Table B.1–2 Facility Requirements for the Upgrade Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West B–1

Table B.1–3 Facility Requirements for the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant B–2

Table B.1–4 Facility Requirements for the Upgrade Alternative at Oak Ridge Reservation, Y–12 Plant B–2

Table B.1–5 Facility Requirements for the Upgrade Alternative at Savannah River Site B–2

Table B.1–6 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative at Hanford Site B–3

Table B.1–7 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Nevada Test Site B–4

Table B.1–8 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative Option to Modify P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site B–5

Table B.1–9 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory B–6

Table B.1–10 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Pantex Plant B–7

Table B.1–11 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative Option to Modify Existing and Construct New Facilities in Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant B–8

Table B.1–12 Facility Requirements for the Consolidation Alternative at Savannah River Site B–9

Table B.1–13 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative at Hanford Site B–10

Table B.1–14 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Nevada Test Site B–11

Table B.1–15 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative Option to Modify P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site B–12

Table B.1–16 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory B–13

Table B.1–17 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative at Pantex Plant B–14

Table B.1–18 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation B–15

Table B.1–19 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Plutonium Storage Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation; Modify or Maintain Existing Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facilities at Y–12 Plant B–16

Table B.1–20 Facility Requirements for the Collocation Alternative at Savannah River Site B–17

Table B.2–1 Facility Requirements for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility B–18

Table B.2–2 Facility Requirements for the Plutonium Conversion Facility B–18
Table B.2–3 Facility Requirements for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility B–19
Table B.3–1 Facility Requirements for the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative B–20
Table B.3–2 Facility Requirements for the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative B–21
Table B.3–3 Facility Requirements for the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative B–22
Table B.3–4 Facility Requirements for the Vitrification Alternative B–22
Table B.3–5 Facility Requirements for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative B–23
Table B.3–6 Facility Requirements for the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) B–23
Table B.3–7 Facility Requirements for the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative B–24
Table C.1.1.1–1 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–2
Table C.1.1.1–2 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–3
Table C.1.1.1–3 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative C–4
Table C.1.1.1–4 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative C–5
Table C.1.1.2–1 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility C–6
Table C.1.1.2–2 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Plutonium Conversion Facility C–6
Table C.1.1.2–3 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility C–7
Table C.1.1.3–1 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative C–8
Table C.1.1.3–2 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–8
Table C.1.1.3–3 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–9
Table C.1.1.3–4 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Vitrification Alternative C–9
Table C.1.1.3–5 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative C–10
Table C.1.1.3–6 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) C–10

Table C.1.1.3–7 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Partially Completed Light Water Reactor Alternative C–11

Table C.1.1.3–8 Materials/Resources Required During Construction of the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative C–11

Table C.1.2.1–1 Employment Required During Construction of the Upgrade Alternative at Hanford Site C–12

Table C.1.2.1–2 Employment Required During Construction of the Upgrade Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West C–12

Table C.1.2.1–3 Employment Required During Construction of the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant C–12

Table C.1.2.1–4 Employment Required During Construction of the Upgrade Alternative at Oak Ridge Reservation C–13

Table C.1.2.1–5 Employment Required During Construction of the Upgrade Alternative at Savannah River Site C–13

Table C.1.2.1–6 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative at Hanford Site C–13

Table C.1.2.1–7 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Nevada Test Site C–13

Table C.1.2.1–8 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative Option to Modify P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site C–14

Table C.1.2.1–9 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory C–14

Table C.1.2.1–10 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Pantex Plant C–14

Table C.1.2.1–11 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative Option to Modify Existing and Construct New Facilities in Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant C–14

Table C.1.2.1–12 Employment Required During Construction of the Consolidation Alternative at Savannah River Site C–15

Table C.1.2.1–13 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative at Hanford Site C–15

Table C.1.2.1–14 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Nevada Test Site C–15

Table C.1.2.1–15 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative Option to Modify P-Tunnel at Nevada Test Site C–15

Table C.1.2.1–16 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory C–16

Table C.1.2.1–17 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative at Pantex Plant C–16

Table C.1.2.1–18 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Plutonium Storage Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation; Maintain Existing Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facilities at Y–12 Plant C–16

Table C.1.2.1–19 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative Option to Construct a New Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation C–16

Table C.1.2.1–20 Employment Required During Construction of the Collocation Alternative at Savannah River Site C–16

Table C.1.2.2–1 Employment Required During Construction of the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility C–17

Table C.1.2.2–2 Employment Required During Construction of the Plutonium Conversion Facility C–17

Table C.1.2.2–3 Employment Required During Construction of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility C–17

Table C.1.2.3–1 Employment Required During Construction of the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative C–18

Table C.1.2.3–2 Employment Required During Construction of the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–18

Table C.1.2.3–3 Employment Required During Construction of the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–18

Table C.1.2.3–4 Employment Required During Construction of the Vitrification Alternative C–18

Table C.1.2.3–5 Employment Required During Construction of the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative C–19

Table C.1.2.3–6 Employment Required During Construction of the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) C–19

Table C.1.2.3–7 Employment Required During Construction of the Partially Completed Light Water Reactor Alternative C–19

Table C.1.2.3–8 Employment Required During Construction of the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative C–19

Table C.2.1.1–1 Utilities Required During Operation of the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–20

Table C.2.1.1–2 Utilities Required During Operation of the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–20

Table C.2.1.1–3 Utilities Required During Operation of the Consolidation Alternative C–21

Table C.2.1.1–4 Utilities Required During Operation of the Collocation Alternative C–21

Table C.2.1.2–1 Utilities Required During Operation of the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility C–22

Table C.2.1.2–2 Utilities Required During Operation of the Plutonium Conversion Facility C–22

Table C.2.1.2–3 Utilities Required During Operation of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility C–22

Table C.2.1.3–1 Utilities Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative C–23

Table C.2.1.3–2 Utilities Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–23

Table C.2.1.3–3 Utilities Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–23

Table C.2.1.3–4 Utilities Required During Operation of the Vitrification Alternative C–24

Table C.2.1.3–5 Utilities Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative C–24

Table C.2.1.3–6 Utilities Required During Operation of the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) C–24

Table C.2.1.3–7 Utilities Required During Operation of the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative C–25

Table C.2.2.1–1 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–26

Table C.2.2.1–2 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative C–28

Table C.2.2.1–3 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Consolidation Alternative C–30

Table C.2.2.1–4 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Collocation Alternative C–32

Table C.2.2.2–1 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility C–34

Table C.2.2.3–1 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative C–35

Table C.2.2.3–2 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–35

Table C.2.2.3–3 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–36

Table C.2.2.3–4 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Vitrification Alternative C–36

Table C.2.2.3–5 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative C–37

Table C.2.2.3–6 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) C–37

Table C.2.2.3–7 Chemicals Required During Operation of the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative C–38

Table C.2.3.1–1 Employment Required During Operation of the Upgrade Alternative C–39

Table C.2.3.1–2 Employment Required During Operation of the Consolidation Alternative C–40

Table C.2.3.1–3 Employment Required During Operation of the Collocation Alternative C–40

Table C.2.3.2–1 Employment Required During Operation of the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility C–41

Table C.2.3.2–2 Employment Required During Operation of the Plutonium Conversion Facility C–41

Table C.2.3.2–3 Employment Required During Operation of the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility C–42

Table C.2.3.3–1 Employment Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative C–42
Table C.2.3.3–2 Employment Required During Operation of the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–43
Table C.2.3.3–3 Employment Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative C–43
Table C.2.3.3–4 Employment Required During Operation of the Vitrification Alternative C–43
Table C.2.3.3–5 Employment Required During Operation of the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative C–44
Table C.2.3.3–6 Employment Required During Operation of the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative (Glass-Bonded Zeolite) C–44
Table C.2.3.3–7 Employment Required During Operation of the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative C–44
Table E.1.1–1 Waste Categories E–1
Table E.1.4–1 Low-Level Waste Disposal Land Usage Factors for Department of Energy Sites E–9
Table E.2.1–1 Spent Nuclear Fuel Inventory at Hanford Site E–20
Table E.2.1–2 High-Level Waste Inventory at Hanford Site E–20
Table E.2.1–3 High-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Hanford Site E–21
Table E.2.1–4 High-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Hanford Site E–21
Table E.2.1–5 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Inventory at Hanford Site E–22
Table E.2.1–6 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Treatment Facilities at Hanford Site E–22
Table E.2.1–7 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Storage Facilities at Hanford Site E–23
Table E.2.1–8 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Hanford Site E–23
Table E.2.1–9 Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Hanford Site E–24
Table E.2.1–10 Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste Disposal Facilities at Hanford Site E–25
Table E.2.1–11 Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Hanford Site E–26
Table E.2.1–12 Hazardous Waste Treatment Facilities at Hanford Site E–26
Table E.2.1–13 Hazardous Waste Storage Facility at Hanford Site E–27
Table E.2.1–14 Hazardous Waste Quantities Shipped Offsite in 1994 at Hanford Site E–27
Table E.2.2–1 Mixed Transuranic Waste Storage Facility at Nevada Test Site E–31
Table E.2.2–2 Low-Level and Mixed Low-Level Waste Storage and Disposal Facilities at Nevada Test Site E–31
Table E.2.2–3 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventories at Nevada Test Site E–32
Table E.2.3–1 High-Level Waste Inventory at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–41

Table E.2.3–2 High-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–41
Table E.2.3–3 High-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–42
Table E.2.3–4 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Inventory at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–42
Table E.2.3–5 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Treatment Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–43
Table E.2.3–6 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–44
Table E.2.3–7 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–44
Table E.2.3–8 Mixed Low-Level Waste and Low-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–45
Table E.2.3–9 Mixed Low-Level Waste and Low-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–47
Table E.2.3–10 Hazardous Waste Quantities Shipped Offsite in 1994 at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–48
Table E.2.4–1 Waste Treatment Facilities and Capabilities at Pantex Plant E–52
Table E.2.4–2 Waste Storage Facilities at Pantex Plant E–53
Table E.2.4–3 Low-Level Waste Streams at Pantex Plant E–54
Table E.2.4–4 Low-Level Waste Inventory at Pantex Plant E–55
Table E.2.4–5 Mixed Low-Level Waste Streams at Pantex Plant E–56
Table E.2.4–6 Organic Liquid Waste Streams Candidates for Commercial Treatment and/or Disposal E–58
Table E.2.4–7 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Pantex Plant E–59
Table E.2.4–8 Hazardous Waste Inventory at Pantex Plant E–60
Table E.2.4–9 Hazardous Quantities Shipped Offsite in 1994 at Pantex Plant E–61
Table E.2.4–10 Class 1 Non-*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* Hazardous Waste Inventory at Pantex Plant E–61
Table E.2.4–11 Class 2 Nonhazardous Waste Disposal in Amarillo Landfill From Pantex Plant E–62
Table E.2.5–1 Low-Level and Mixed Low-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Y–12 Plant E–78
Table E.2.5–2 Low-Level and Mixed Low-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Y–12 Plant E–80
Table E.2.5–3 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Y–12 Plant E–82
Table E.2.5–4 Hazardous Waste Treatment Facilities at Y–12 Plant E–82
Table E.2.5–5 Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities at Y–12 Plant E–83
Table E.2.5–6 Reactor-Irradiated Nuclear Material Inventory at Oak Ridge Reservation E–84
Table E.2.5–7 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Inventory at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–84

Table E.2.5–8 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Storage Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–85

Table E.2.5–9 Low-Level, Mixed Low-Level, and Transuranic Waste Treatment Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–86

Table E.2.5–10 Low-Level, Mixed Low-Level, and Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–87

Table E.2.5–11 Low-Level Waste Disposal Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–88

Table E.2.5–12 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act-* and State-Regulated) E–88

Table E.2.5–13 Hazardous Waste Treatment Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–89

Table E.2.5–14 Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory E–89

Table E.2.5–15 Low-Level, Mixed Low-Level, and Hazardous Waste Treatment Facilities at K–25 Site E–90

Table E.2.5–16 Low Level, Mixed Low-Level, and Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities at K–25 Site E–91

Table E.2.5–17 Low-Level Waste Storage Facilities at K–25 Site E–95

Table E.2.5–18 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at K–25 Site E–96

Table E.2.6–1 High-Level Waste Inventory at Savannah River Site E–107

Table E.2.6–2 High-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Savannah River Site E–107

Table E.2.6–3 High-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Savannah River Site E–108

Table E.2.6–4 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Inventory at Savannah River Site E–108

Table E.2.6–5 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Treatment Facilities at Savannah River Site E–108

Table E.2.6–6 Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste Storage Facilities at Savannah River Site E–109

Table E.2.6–7 Low-Level and Mixed Low-Level Waste Treatment Facilities at Savannah River Site E–109

Table E.2.6–8 Low-Level and Mixed Low-Level Waste Storage Facilities at Savannah River Site E–110

Table E.2.6–9 Waste Disposal at Savannah River Site E–111

Table E.2.6–10 Mixed Low-Level Waste Inventory at Savannah River Site E–111

Table E.2.6–11 Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities at Savannah River Site E–112

Table E.3.1–1 Waste Types Generated From Long-Term Storage of Plutonium E–114

Table E.3.1–2 Waste Types Generated From Long-Term Storage of Uranium E–115

Table E.3.1.1–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative at Hanford Site E–116

Table E.3.1.1–2 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Subalternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West E–117

Table E.3.1.1–3 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant E–118

Table E.3.1.1–4 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Subalternative at Y–12 Plant E–119

Table E.3.1.1–5 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative at Hanford Site E–123

Table E.3.1.1–6 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West E–124

Table E.3.1.1–7 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium Pit Subalternative at Pantex Plant E–125

Table E.3.1.1–8 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Non-Pit Plutonium Subalternative at Savannah River Site E–126

Table E.3.1.1–9 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Upgrade With All or Some Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative at Savannah River Site E-127

Table E.3.1.2–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Hanford Site E–128

Table E.3.1.2–2 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Modifying P-Tunnel and Constructing New Material Handling Building at Nevada Test Site E–129

Table E.3.1.2–3 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Nevada Test Site E–130

Table E.3.1.2–4 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–131

Table E.3.1.2–5 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Modifying Existing and Constructing a New Facility in Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant E–132

Table E.3.1.2–6 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Pantex Plant E–133

Table E.3.1.2–7 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Consolidation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Savannah River Site E–134

Table E.3.1.3–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Hanford Site E–139

Table E.3.1.3–2 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Modifying P-Tunnel and Constructing New Material Handling Building at Nevada Test Site E–140

Table E.3.1.3–3 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Nevada Test Site E–141

Table E.3.1.3–4 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory E–142

Table E.3.1.3–5 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Pantex Plant E–143

Table E.3.1.3–6 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Plutonium Storage Facility; Maintaining or Upgrading Y–12 Plant E–144

Table E.3.1.3–7 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility at Oak Ridge Reservation E–145

Table E.3.1.3–8 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Collocation Alternative—Constructing a New Facility at Savannah River Site E–146

Table E.3.2.1–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility E–154

Table E.3.2.2–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for Plutonium Conversion Facility E–160

Table E.3.2.3–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility E–165

Table E.3.3.1–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Direct Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex E–169

Table E.3.3.2–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex E–173

Table E.3.3.3–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative—Ceramic Immobilization Facility E–177

Table E.3.3.4–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Vitrification Alternative E–182

Table E.3.3.5–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative E–186

Table E.3.3.6–1 Estimated Waste Volumes for Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative E–191

Table E.3.3.7–1 Estimated Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Volumes for the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor (Large) Alternative E–194

Table E.3.3.7–2 Estimated Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Volumes for the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor (Small) Alternative E–195

Table F.1.2.1–1 Ambient Air Quality Standards Applicable to Existing Department of Energy Sites F–3

Table F.1.2.2–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Hanford Site F–6

Table F.1.2.3–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Nevada Test Site F–8

Table F.1.2.4–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory F–10

Table F.1.2.5–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Pantex Plant F–12

Table F.1.2.6–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Oak Ridge Reservation F–15

Table F.1.2.7–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Savannah River Site F–18

Table F.1.2.8–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site F–20

Table F.1.2.9–1 Emission Rates of Criteria and Toxic/Hazardous Pollutants at Los Alamos National Laboratory F–22

Table F.1.3–1 Emission Rates of Pollutants for Upgrade of Existing Facilities F–23

Table F.1.3–2 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility F–24

Table F.1.3–3 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Collocated Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facilities F–25

Table F.1.3–4 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility F–26

Table F.1.3–5 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Plutonium Conversion Facility F–27

Table F.1.3–6 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility F–28

Table F.1.3–7 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Direct Disposition Alternative—Deep Borehole Complex F–29

Table F.1.3–8 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Immobilization Disposition Alternative—Ceramic Immobilization Facility and Deep Borehole Complex F–29

Table F.1.3–9 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Vitrification Alternative F–29

Table F.1.3–10 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative F–30

Table F.1.3–11 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative F–30

Table F.1.3–12 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Existing Light Water Reactor F–30

Table F.1.3–13 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Partially Completed Light Water Reactor F–31

Table F.1.3–14 Emission Rates of Pollutants for the Evolutionary Light Water Reactor F–31

Table F.2.1–1 Applicable State Noise Limitations for Hanford Site Based on Source and Receptor Environmental Designation for Noise Abatement (dBA) F–32

Table F.2.5–1 City of Oak Ridge Maximum Allowable Noise Limits Applicable to Oak Ridge Reservation F–32

Table F.2.6–1 Aiken County Maximum Allowable Noise Levels F–33

Table G.1.2.4–1 Annual Accident Risks Due to Export of Plutonium Oxide and Import of Mixed Oxide Fuel G–6

Table G.2–1 Five-Year Summary of Cargo Shipments by Commercial Carrier To and From Department of Energy Sites G–9

Table G.2–2 Summary of Hazardous Materials Shipped To and From Department of Energy Sites–1994 ..... G–10

Table G.2–3 Summary of Hazardous Materials Shipped To and From Department of Energy Sites–1994 ..... G–15

Table G.3–1 Highway Distance Between Department of Energy Sites (kilometers) G–19
Table J–1 Federal Environmental Statutes, Regulations, and Orders J–5
Table J–2 State Environmental Statutes, Regulations, and Orders J–12
Table J–3 Selected Department of Energy Environment, Safety, and Health Orders J–19
Table J–4 Applicable Nuclear Regulatory Commission Guidelines J–20
Table K–1 Scientific Names of Nonthreatened and Nonendangered Animal and Plant Species Referred to in the Text K–2
Table L.1–1 Department of Energy Sites' Regional Economic Areas by County L–2
Table L.1–2 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Hanford Site Region of Influence, 1996 ..... L–3
Table L.1–3 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Nevada Test Site Region of Influence, 1991 ..... L–3
Table L.1–4 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence, 1991 ..... L–3
Table L.1–5 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Pantex Plant Region of Influence, 1994 ..... L–4
Table L.1–6 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence, 1990 ..... L–4
Table L.1–7 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Savannah River Site Region of Influence, 1991 ..... L–4
Table L.1–8 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence, 1995 ..... L–5
Table L.1–9 Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence, 1991 ..... L–5
Table L.1–10 Hanford Site Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–6
Table L.1–11 Hanford Site Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–6
Table L.1–12 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–6
Table L.1–13 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–7
Table L.1–14 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–8
Table L.1–15 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–9
Table L.1–16 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–9
Table L.1–17 Hanford Site Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–10
Table L.1–18 Hanford Site Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–10
Table L.1–19 Nevada Test Site Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–11
Table L.1–20 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–11

Table L.1–21 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–11

Table L.1–22 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–12

Table L.1–23 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–12

Table L.1–24 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–12

Table L.1–25 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–13

Table L.1–26 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–13

Table L.1–27 Nevada Test Site Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–13

Table L.1–28 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–14

Table L.1–29 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–14

Table L.1–30 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–15

Table L.1–31 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–15

Table L.1–32 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–16

Table L.1–33 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–16

Table L.1–34 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–17

Table L.1–35 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–17

Table L.1–36 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–17

Table L.1–37 Pantex Plant Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–18

Table L.1–38 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–19

Table L.1–39 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–19

Table L.1–40 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–19

Table L.1–41 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–20

Table L.1–42 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–20

Table L.1–43 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–20
Table L.1–44 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–21
Table L.1–45 Pantex Plant Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–21
Table L.1–46 Oak Ridge Reservation Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–22
Table L.1–47 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–23
Table L.1–48 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–23
Table L.1–49 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–24
Table L.1–50 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–24
Table L.1–51 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–25
Table L.1–52 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–25
Table L.1–53 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–26
Table L.1–54 Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–26
Table L.1–55 Savannah River Site Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–27
Table L.1–56 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–27
Table L.1–57 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–28
Table L.1–58 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–28
Table L.1–59 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–29
Table L.1–60 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–29
Table L.1–61 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–30
Table L.1–62 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–30
Table L.1–63 Savannah River Site Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–30
Table L.1–64 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–31
Table L.1–65 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–31

Table L.1–66 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–32

Table L.1–67 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–32

Table L.1–68 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–33

Table L.1–69 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–34

Table L.1–70 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–34

Table L.1–71 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–34

Table L.1–72 Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–35

Table L.1–73 Los Alamos National Laboratory Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–36

Table L.1–74 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–36

Table L.1–75 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–37

Table L.1–76 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–37

Table L.1–77 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–37

Table L.1–78 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–38

Table L.1–79 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–38

Table L.1–80 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–38

Table L.1–81 Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–38

Table L.1–82 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Facility Regional Economic Area Employment and Economy, 1995-2040 ..... L–39

Table L.1–83 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Facility Region of Influence Population, 1995-2040 ..... L–39

Table L.1–84 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Number of Owner and Renter Housing Units, 1995-2040 ..... L–40

Table L.1–85 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Student Enrollment, 1995-2040 ..... L–40

Table L.1–86 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Number of Teachers, 1995-2040 ..... L–40

Table L.1–87 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Number of Sworn Police Officers, 1995-2040 ..... L–41

Table L.1–88 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Number of Firefighters, 1995-2040 ..... L–41

Table L.1–89 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Hospital Occupancy Rates, 1995-2040 ..... L–41

Table L.1–90 Representative Site for the Partially Completed Reactor Region of Influence Total Number of Doctors, 1995-2040 ..... L–41

Table M.2.1.2–1 Lifetime Risks per 100,000 Persons Exposed to a Single Exposure of 10 rem M–7

Table M.2.2.2–1 GENII Exposure Parameters to Plumes and Soil Contamination M–12

Table M.2.2.2–2 GENII Usage Parameters for Consumption of Terrestrial Food M–12

Table M.2.2.2–3 GENII Usage Parameters for Consumption of Animal Products M–13

Table M.2.2.2–4 GENII Usage Parameters for Aquatic Activities M–13

Table M.2.3.1–1 Annual Radioactive Releases During Normal Operation of Long-Term Storage Facilities (curies) M–15

Table M.2.3.1–2 Annual Radioactive Releases During Normal Operation of Facilities for Plutonium Disposition Used by Multiple Alternatives (curies) M–17

Table M.2.3.1–3 Annual Radioactive Releases During Normal Operation of Non-Reactor Plutonium Disposition Facilities (curies) M–18

Table M.2.3.1–4 Annual Liquid and Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From the Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Using a Mixed Oxide Core (curies) M–19

Table M.2.3.1–5 Annual Liquid and Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From the Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Using a Mixed Oxide Core (curies) M–20

Table M.2.3.2–1 Potential Radiological Impacts From Normal Operation to Involved Workers of Disposition Technology Alternatives and Common Activities M–21

Table M.2.4–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at the Hanford Site Boundary M–23

Table M.2.4–2 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Hanford Site Boundary M–25

Table M.2.4–3 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Hanford Site From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–27

Table M.2.4–4 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Hanford Site From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–28

Table M.2.4–5 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Hanford Site From Liquid Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation .. M–29

Table M.2.4–6 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Hanford Site From Liquid Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–29

Table M.2.4.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Hanford Site (curies) M–30

Table M.2.4.1–2 Annual Liquid Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Hanford Site (curies) M–30

Table M.2.5–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at Nevada Test Site M–33

Table M.2.5–2 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Nevada Test Site Boundary M–35

Table M.2.5–3 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Nevada Test Site From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–36

Table M.2.5–4 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Nevada Test Site From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–37

Table M.2.5.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Nevada Test Site (curies) M–38

Table M.2.6–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Boundary M–40

Table M.2.6–2 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Site Boundary M–43

Table M.2.6–3 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–45

Table M.2.6–4 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Idaho National Engineering Laboratory From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–46

Table M.2.6.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (curies) M–48

Table M.2.7–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at the Pantex Plant Boundary M–53

Table M.2.7–2 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Pantex Plant Boundary M–55

Table M.2.7–3 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Pantex Plant From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–56

Table M.2.7–4 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Pantex Plant From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–57

Table M.2.7.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Pantex Plant (curies) M–58

Table M.2.8–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at the Oak Ridge Reservation Boundary (Without Presence of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Site) M–61

Table M.2.8–2 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Chi/Q at the Oak Ridge Reservation Boundary (With Presence of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Site) M–63

Table M.2.8–3 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Oak Ridge Reservation Site Boundary (Without Presence of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Site) M–66

Table M.2.8–4 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Oak Ridge Reservation Site Boundary (With Presence of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Site) M–68

Table M.2.8–5 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Oak Ridge Reservation From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–70

Table M.2.8–6 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Oak Ridge Reservation From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–71

Table M.2.8–7 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual at Oak Ridge Reservation From Liquid Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation M–72

Table M.2.8–8 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Downstream of Oak Ridge Reservation From Liquid Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–73

Table M.2.8.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Oak Ridge Reservation (curies) M–75

Table M.2.8.1–2 Annual Liquid Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Oak Ridge Reservation (curies) M–76

Table M.2.9–1 Release Point Characteristics, Direction, Distance, and Atmospheric Dispersion at the Savannah River Site Boundary M–79

Table M.2.9–2 Direction, Distance, and Meteorological Dispersion to Various Maximum Individual Receptors at the Savannah River Site Boundary M–82

Table M.2.9–3 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation at Savannah River Site M–85

Table M.2.9–4 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Within 80 Kilometers of Savannah River Site From Atmospheric Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–86

Table M.2.9–5 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Maximally Exposed Individual From Liquid Releases Associated With Annual Normal Operation at Savannah River Site M–87

Table M.2.9–6 Doses and Resulting Health Effects to the Population Downstream of Savannah River Site From Liquid Releases Associated With Normal Operation in 2030 ..... M–88

Table M.2.9.1–1 Annual Atmospheric Radioactive Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Savannah River Site (curies) M–90

Table M.2.9.1–2 Annual Liquid Releases From Normal Operation of No Action at Savannah River Site (curies) M–94

Table M.3.2–1 Chemical Toxicity Profiles M–99

Table M.3.3–1 Table of Exposure Limits M–120

Table M.3.4–1 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—No Action M–139

Table M.3.4–2 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Upgrade Plutonium Storage Facility (Both Options) M–140

Table M.3.4–3 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility M–141

Table M.3.4–4 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–142

Table M.3.4–5 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—No Action M–143

Table M.3.4–6 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility M–144

Table M.3.4–7 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility at P-Tunnel M–145

Table M.3.4–8 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–146

Table M.3.4–9 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility at P-Tunnel M–147

Table M.3.4–10 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—No Action M–148

Table M.3.4–11 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Upgrade Plutonium Storage Facility M–150

Table M.3.4–12 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility M–151

Table M.3.4–13 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–152

Table M.3.4–14 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—No Action M–153

Table M.3.4–15 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Upgrade Plutonium Storage Facility M–155

Table M.3.4–16 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility M–156

Table M.3.4–17 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–157

Table M.3.4–18 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—No Action M–158

Table M.3.4–19 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Upgrade Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–159

Table M.3.4–20 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Collocate Plutonium Storage Facilities; Maintain Highly Enriched Uranium Facility M–160

Table M.3.4–21 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–161

Table M.3.4–22 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—No Action M–162

Table M.3.4–23 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Upgrade Plutonium Storage Facility M–163

Table M.3.4–24 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Consolidate Plutonium Storage Facility M–164

Table M.3.4–25 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Collocate Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility M–165

Table M.3.4–26 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site—No Action M–166

Table M.3.4–27 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Los Alamos National Laboratory—No Action M–167

Table M.3.4–28 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–169

Table M.3.4–29 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–170

Table M.3.4–30 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–171

Table M.3.4–31 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–172

Table M.3.4–32 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–173

Table M.3.4–33 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–174

Table M.3.4–34 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–175

Table M.3.4–35 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–176

Table M.3.4–36 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–177

Table M.3.4–37 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–178

Table M.3.4–38 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–179

Table M.3.4–39 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Plutonium Conversion Facility M–180

Table M.3.4–40 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at the Deep Borehole Complex—Direct Disposition Alternative M–181

Table M.3.4–41 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–182

Table M.3.4–42 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–183

Table M.3.4–43 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–184

Table M.3.4–44 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–185

Table M.3.4–45 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–186

Table M.3.4–46 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Ceramic Immobilization Facility for the Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–187

Table M.3.4–47 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at the Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative M–188

Table M.3.4–48 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Vitrification Alternative M–189

Table M.3.4–49 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Vitrification Alternative M–190

Table M.3.4–50 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Vitrification Alternative M–191

Table M.3.4–51 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Vitrification Alternative M–192

Table M.3.4–52 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Vitrification Alternative M–193

Table M.3.4–53 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Vitrification Alternative M–194

Table M.3.4–54 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–195

Table M.3.4–55 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–196

Table M.3.4–56 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–197

Table M.3.4–57 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–198

Table M.3.4–58 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–199

Table M.3.4–59 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–200

Table M.3.4–60 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative M–201

Table M.3.4–61 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–202

Table M.3.4–62 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–203

Table M.3.4–63 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–204

Table M.3.4–64 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–205

Table M.3.4–65 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–206

Table M.3.4–66 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–207

Table M.3.4–67 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Generic Site—Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–208

Table M.3.4–68 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–209

Table M.3.4–69 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–210

Table M.3.4–70 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–211

Table M.3.4–71 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–212

Table M.3.4–72 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–213

Table M.3.4–73 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Large Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–214

Table M.3.4–74 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Hanford Site—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–215

Table M.3.4–75 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Nevada Test Site—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–216

Table M.3.4–76 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–217

Table M.3.4–77 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Pantex Plant—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–218

Table M.3.4–78 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Oak Ridge Reservation—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–219

Table M.3.4–79 Risk Assessments From Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals at Savannah River Site—Small Evolutionary Light Water Reactor M–220
Table M.5.1.3.4–1 Isotopic Distribution for a Mixed Plutonium Release M–257
Table M.5.1.3.4–2 Isotopic Distribution for a Weapons-Grade Plutonium Release M–257
Table M.5.1.3.4–3 Isotopic Distribution for a Non-Pit (Pu Conversion) Plutonium Release M–257
Table M.5.2.1.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Consolidation Alternative M–258
Table M.5.2.1.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Consolidation Alternative M–258
Table M.5.2.1.1–3 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Consolidation and Collocation Facilities M–259
Table M.5.2.1.1–4 Consolidation Alternative Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–260
Table M.5.2.1.1–5 Consolidation Alternative Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–261
Table M.5.2.1.2–1 Consolidation Alternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–262
Table M.5.2.1.2–2 Consolidation Alternative Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–263
Table M.5.2.1.2–3 Consolidation Alternative Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–264
Table M.5.2.1.2–4 Consolidation Alternative Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–265
Table M.5.2.1.2–5 Consolidation Alternative Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–266
Table M.5.2.2.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Collocation Alternative M–267
Table M.5.2.2.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Collocation Alternative M–268
Table M.5.2.2.1–3 Collocation Alternative Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–268
Table M.5.2.2.1–4 Collocation Alternative Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–269
Table M.5.2.2.2–1 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–271
Table M.5.2.2.2–2 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–272
Table M.5.2.2.2–3 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–273
Table M.5.2.2.2–4 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–274
Table M.5.2.2.2–5 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–275
Table M.5.2.2.2–6 Collocation Alternative Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–276
Table M.5.2.3–1 Upgrade Without Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium or Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–277
Table M.5.2.3–2 Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–278
Table M.5.2.4–1 Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–279
Table M.5.2.4.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade of Interim Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–280

Table M.5.2.4.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade of Interim Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–280

Table M.5.2.4.1–3 Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade of Interim Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–280

Table M.5.2.4.1–4 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade of Interim Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–281

Table M.5.2.4.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–282

Table M.5.2.4.2–1 Accident Impacts for Upgrade of Existing Storage Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–284

Table M.5.2.5.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Surplus Materials Storage Building M–285

Table M.5.2.5.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Surplus Materials Storage Building M–286

Table M.5.2.5.1–3 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Strategic Reserves Storage Building M–286

Table M.5.2.5.1–4 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Strategic Reserves Storage Building M–286

Table M.5.2.5.1–5 Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Surplus Materials Storage Building M–287

Table M.5.2.5.1–6 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Surplus Materials Storage Building M–288

Table M.5.2.5.1–7 Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Strategic Reserves Storage Building M–289

Table M.5.2.5.1–8 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms for Upgrade or Consolidation at Pantex Plant—Strategic Reserves Storage Building M–290

Table M.5.2.5.1–9 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Storage Facilities at Pantex Plant M–291

Table M.5.2.5.2–1 Accident Impacts for Consolidation in the Surplus Materials Storage Building at Pantex Plant M–293

Table M.5.2.5.2–2 Accident Impacts for Consolidation in the Strategic Reserves Storage Building at Pantex Plant M–294

Table M.5.2.5.2–3 Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium Pits Subalternative (Preferred Alternative) Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–295

Table M.5.2.7–1 Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Plutonium and Los Alamos National Laboratory Plutonium Subalternative Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–297

Table M.5.2.7–2 Upgrade With Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Non-Pit Plutonium Subalternative (Preferred Alternative) Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site... M–298

Table M.5.2.8.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios at Nevada Test Site for Plutonium Storage Facility—P-Tunnel M–299

Table M.5.2.8.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios at Nevada Test Site for Plutonium Storage Facility—P-Tunnel M–299

Table M.5.2.8.1–3 Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms at Nevada Test Site for Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility— P-Tunnel M–299

Table M.5.2.8.1–4 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms at Nevada Test Site for Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility—P-Tunnel M–300

Table M.5.2.8.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Nevada Test Site for Plutonium Storage Facility—P-Tunnel M–301

Table M.5.2.8.2–1 Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site—P-Tunnel M–303

Table M.5.3.1.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–304

Table M.5.3.1.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–304

Table M.5.3.1.1–3 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Criticality Source Terms M–305

Table M.5.3.1.1–4 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–305

Table M.5.3.1.1–5 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–306

Table M.5.3.1.1–6 Accident Scenario Descriptions for the Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility M–307

Table M.5.3.1.2–1 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–309

Table M.5.3.1.2–2 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–310

Table M.5.3.1.2–3 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–311

Table M.5.3.1.2–4 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–312

Table M.5.3.1.2–5 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–313

Table M.5.3.1.2–6 Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–314

Table M.5.3.2.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–315

Table M.5.3.2.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–315

Table M.5.3.2.1–3 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Criticality Source Terms M–316

Table M.5.3.2.1–4 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–316

Table M.5.3.2.1–5 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–317

Table M.5.3.2.1–6 Accident Scenario Descriptions for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility M–318

Table M.5.3.2.2–1 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–320

Table M.5.3.2.2–2 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–321

Table M.5.3.2.2–3 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–322
Table M.5.3.2.2–4 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–323
Table M.5.3.2.2–5 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–324
Table M.5.3.2.2–6 Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–325
Table M.5.3.3.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–327
Table M.5.3.3.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–328
Table M.5.3.3.1–3 Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–329
Table M.5.3.3.1–4 Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–330
Table M.5.3.3.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–331
Table M.5.3.3.2–1 Direct Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Accident Impacts Ranges at Generic Sites M–333
Table M.5.3.4.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–335
Table M.5.3.4.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–336
Table M.5.3.4.1–3 Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–337
Table M.5.3.4.1–4 Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–339
Table M.5.3.4.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex M–340
Table M.5.3.4.2–1 Immobilized Disposition at the Deep Borehole Complex Accident Impacts Ranges at Generic Site M–342
Table M.5.3.5.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Vitrification Alternative M–344
Table M.5.3.5.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Vitrification Alternative M–345
Table M.5.3.5.1–3 Vitrification Alternative Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–346
Table M.5.3.5.1–4 Vitrification Alternative Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–347
Table M.5.3.5.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for the Vitrification Alternative M–348
Table M.5.3.5.2–1 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–349
Table M.5.3.5.2–2 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–350
Table M.5.3.5.2–3 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–351

Table M.5.3.5.2–4 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–352
Table M.5.3.5.2–5 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–353
Table M.5.3.5.2–6 Vitrification Alternative Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–354
Table M.5.3.6.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–355
Table M.5.3.6.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–356
Table M.5.3.6.1–3 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–357
Table M.5.3.6.1–4 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–359
Table M.5.3.6.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Ceramic Immobilization Alternative M–360
Table M.5.3.6.2–1 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–362
Table M.5.3.6.2–2 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–363
Table M.5.3.6.2–3 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–364
Table M.5.3.6.2–4 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–365
Table M.5.3.6.2–5 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–366
Table M.5.3.6.2–6 Ceramic Immobilization Alternative Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–367
Table M.5.3.7.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility M–368
Table M.5.3.7.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility M–369
Table M.5.3.7.1–3 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–370
Table M.5.3.7.1–4 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–372
Table M.5.3.7.1–5 Accident Scenario Descriptions for Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility M–373
Table M.5.3.7.2–1 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–375
Table M.5.3.7.2–2 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–376
Table M.5.3.7.2–3 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–377
Table M.5.3.7.2–4 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–378
Table M.5.3.7.2–5 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–379

Table M.5.3.7.2–6 Immobilized Disposition at the Ceramic Immobilization Facility Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–380

Table M.5.3.8.1–1 Advanced Boiling Water Reactor Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–382

Table M.5.3.8.1–2 Advanced Boiling Water Reactor Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–384

Table M.5.3.8.2–1 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Hanford Site M–385

Table M.5.3.8.2–2 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–386

Table M.5.3.8.2–3 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–387

Table M.5.3.8.2–4 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–388

Table M.5.3.8.2–5 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–389

Table M.5.3.8.2–6 Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–390

Table M.5.3.9.1–1 Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Plutonium Conversion Facility M–391

Table M.5.3.9.1–2 Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Scenarios for the Plutonium Conversion Facility M–391

Table M.5.3.9.1–3 Plutonium Conversion Facility Criticality Source Terms M–392

Table M.5.3.9.1–4 Plutonium Conversion Facility Evaluation Basis Source Terms M–392

Table M.5.3.9.1–5 Plutonium Conversion Facility Beyond Evaluation Basis Accident Source Terms M–393

Table M.5.3.9.1–6 Accident Scenario Descriptions for the Plutonium Conversion Facility M–394

Table M.5.3.9.2–1 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Consequences at Hanford Site M–396

Table M.5.3.9.2–2 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Nevada Test Site M–397

Table M.5.3.9.2–3 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory M–398

Table M.5.3.9.2–4 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Pantex Plant M–399

Table M.5.3.9.2–5 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Oak Ridge Reservation M–400

Table M.5.3.9.2–6 Plutonium Conversion Facility Accident Impacts at Savannah River Site M–401

Table M.5.3.10–1 Accident Impacts for Existing Light Water Reactor With Mixed Oxide Fuels M–403

Table M.5.3.10–2 Ratio of Accident Impacts for Mixed Oxide and Uranium Fueled Reactors for Typical Severe Accidents (Mixed Oxide Impacts/Uranium Impacts) M–405

Table M.5.3.10–3 Accident Impact Sensitivity Analysis for Light Water Reactors Using Mixed Oxide Fuels M–406

Table M.5.3.11–1 Ratio of Accident Dose for Americium, Curium, and Plutonium in a Mixed Oxide Fueled Reactor to Total Dose for Uranium Fueled Reactor (Americium+Curium+Plutonium Dose/Total Dose) M–407

Table N.5.1–1 Advanced Light Water Reactor Costs (1996 Dollars) N–5

Table N.5.2–1 Modular Helium Reactor Costs (1996 Dollars) N–7

Table O.3–1 Locations for Proposed Vitrification Can-in-Canister Process Equipment O–2

Table O.4–1 Locations for Proposed Ceramic Can-in-Canister Process Equipment..... O–10

Table P.2.3.2–1 Pollutant Emission Rates Related to Storage of Pits at the Manzano Weapons Storage Area P–6

Table P.2.3.3–1 Threshold Values..... P–6

Table P.2.9.1–1 Major Sources of Radiation Exposure in the Vicinity of the Manzano Weapons Storage Area at Kirtland Air Force Base..... P–9

Table P.2.9.3–1 Albuquerque International Airport Operations for 1994..... P–12

Table Q.4–1 Estimated Transportation and Staging Worker Exposures for the Proposed Action Q–3