KORTH, MICHAEL, WEST RICHLAND, WA
PAGE 1 OF 2

Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) Public Comment Form

Name (optional): Michael Korth
Address (optional): 586 S. 38th
West Richland Wa

Please write down your comments and drop this form in the marked boxes before you leave tonight. These forms will be submitted to the Department of Energy as part of the formal comment on this PEIS. If you are unable to complete this form tonight, written comments can be mailed to:

Department of Energy
Office of Fissile Materials Disposition
P.O. Box 23786
Washington, D.C. 20026-3786

or, you can call this toll-free number to leave comments by phone: 1-800-820-5156. Comments must be submitted by May 7, 1996.

The Department of Energy has identified three types of technologies as options for disposing of weapons-usable fissile materials. The Department has also considered a "no action alternative" which would result in long-term storage of these materials. Please write down your comments on the following three types of options for disposal and the storage option.

1. Materials Immobilization/Vitrification - Immobilize fissile materials by mixing them with glass, glass bonded zeolites, or ceramics.

OK

2. Deep borehole disposal - Materials would be disposed in boreholes at least 2.5 miles deep, in geologically stable formations. Materials could be disposed directly into the deep borehole, or materials could be immobilized first, and then deposited into the deep borehole.

Not attractive option - impossible to service over a long 250 K years

1/08.03.01

3. Reactor Options - Surplus plutonium/highly enriched uranium would be made into MOX fuel for use in nuclear reactors, destroying by fission a major portion of the weapons grade materials.

The Japanese are long [illegible] with [illegible]
But it must be [illegible]
It costs to move to glass for 250 K [illegible]

2/08.03.01

4. Storage Options - USDOE would continue existing storage practices for weapons-usable fissile materials at current locations and/or consolidate that storage at one or more of the designated sites.

OK

M-230

08 03 01 Comment Number 1

The Department of Energy recognizes the commentor's concern with the Borehole Alternatives. Decisions on the disposition alternatives will be based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.

08 03 01 Comment Number 2

The Department of Energy acknowledges the commentor's support for Pu disposition in reactors. Decisions on disposition will be made based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.

KORTH, MICHAEL, WEST RICHLAND, WA
PAGE 2 OF 2

Please use this space to write down any additional comments on the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement.

Storing it for 250 K years
either in a non seismically [illegible]
(Earth has 24 000 Earthquakes only)
or
[illegible] other storage [illegible]
security concerns (weapons goals) [illegible]
and
costs in dollars for security, storage
become prohibitive

3/08.03.01

4/07.00.00

M-230

08 03 01 **Comment Number 3**

The Department of Energy acknowledges the commentor's opposition to long-term storage. Decisions on storage of weapons-usable fissile materials will be based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.

07 00 00 **Comment Number 4**

Cost data, along with technical and schedule data, was provided in a Technical Summary Report for storage in late July 1996.