Comment ID: P0036
Date Received: May 1, 1996
Name: Sam P. Manning
Address: P.O. Box 355
Spottenberg, SC 29304
Phone: 803-582-5220

Transcription:

I had expected to be at the North Augusta Community Center meeting on April 30, however, I am in the hospital at present, and unable to come due to a heart problem. I therefore, respectfully request that a copy of the storage and disposition of weapons-usable fissile materials draft PEIS be sent to me [address]. Also please send me a copy of the stockpile stewardship and management draft PEIS. I also respectfully request an opportunity to file a statement in the next ten days, as I'm in the hospital and have been unable to prepare the report like I had requested to. 1/08.01.00
I am concerned in reference to the amount of nuclear storage that may be placed on the shoulders of the State of South Carolina, as I think it's too much and presents a danger not only to South Carolina, but to North Carolina and Alabama based on the amount. I thank you, and I hope to get a message in later, but I'd be most grateful if you'd mail me that material, and also give me permission to file a statement within the next ten days. I thank you so much. 2/08.03.01

I had planned and expected to be in Augusta tomorrow afternoon to make a presentation. I regret at present I am in the hospital. I request permission to file a ten page to file a statement in the next ten days expressing my concerns in regards to the burden of nuclear radioactive waste that South Carolina has to carry. I fear that we are building up a situation that may be dangerous for the State and for the Nation due to the concentration of nuclear waste and nuclear weapons in this area. I regret that I cannot be present for the hearing on Tuesday, as I stated, I respectfully request permission to file a statement in regards to all three matters and I think, I'm hoping it will be the issues that will be raised will be raised by or considered by the leading scientists in the Nation. I thank you.

I had expected to be in Augusta on Tuesday the 29th correction on Tuesday the 30th, but I am in the hospital. I would appreciate it though if you would carry these comments, and I hope to be able to submit a more formal statement. I reference to the storage of nuclear weapons, It is my understanding that they should be stored in an area where there are very few people and that is very dry. The Savannah River Site has 500,000 people living within 100 miles of the facility. It also adjoins the Savannah River for 22 miles. The Savannah River Site at present has over 505 of the county's high level radioactive waste. It should be removed to a drier area. It should not be increased. I thank you.

P-036

08 01 00 **Comment Number 1**

At the request of several organizations and individuals, the public comment period was extended to a total of 92 days.

08 03 01 **Comment Number 2**

The Department of Energy acknowledges the commentor's opposition to new missions at SRS. Decisions on the storage and disposition of weapons-usable fissile materials will be based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.