Date Received: 06/14/96
Comment ID: P0054
Name: None given.
Address: No address given.

Transcription:

My question is: How is the Department saving money in the engineered design of plutonium storage facilities? Its seems like the upgrade facilities are being designed uniquely. and I base this on the different numbers with no common ratios in the appendices. So if it's true that we're having unique designs for the different upgrade facilities, we're spending four times the architectural engineering design funds than is necessary. What is the Department doing to minimize expenses by maximizing the use of common plutonium storage facility designs? Thank you for considering the role of the taxpayer, who is your ultimate stakeholder. 1/07.01.00

P-054

07 01 00 Comment Number 1

For the Upgrade Storage Alternative considered in the PEIS, DOE has attempted to minimize the costs of engineered design, construction, and operation by utilizing the same standards, criteria, and technical approach wherever possible for the facilities being considered. However, due to differences in existing storage facility configurations and equipment at the various DOE sites involved, the upgrades are necessarily different to be compatible with existing design and configuration.