NO NAME SUBMITTED
PAGE 1 OF 2

1. Go slow on disposal. 1/01.00.00

2. With our present President we do not know from one day to the next what he is going to do!
France is testing
China is testing etc.
It is foolish to be thinking of reducing the stockpile as it seem to be proposed. 2/01.00.00

3 The environment damage that you may seem will affect this area. No consideration is given to all the chemicals that is poisoning the human body by allowing the chemical companies to put all their chemicals into our food supply which will harm all humanity in the U.S. 3/15.00.00
a. which is worse "the pollutants" put out by Pantex that affects the local population. or
b. all the chemicals that go into our food which affects the whole nation
It will hurt the economy of this city to have another "pay roll" eliminated. the maine 4 plans under plans to dispose of, and those already [illegible] gone are - the air Base. Bell Helicopter. Bell Helicopter 4/09.08.04

TX-051

01 00 00 **Comment Number 1**

Comment noted.

01 00 00 **Comment Number 2**

Comment noted.

15 00 00 **Comment Number 3**

Comment noted. Regulating the food supply companies is the responsibility of the Food and Drug Administration.

09 08 04 **Comment Number 4**

Phasing out existing storage facilities is the only activity associated with the PEIS alternatives that would eliminate jobs at Pantex. DOE estimates that only about five direct jobs would be lost due to the phaseout of the storage mission. Although any employment loss would be undesirable, the loss of five jobs would have no impact on the local or regional economy.

NO NAME SUBMITTED
PAGE 2 OF 2

helium plant and Pantex — what next

I am not in favor of
cutting the payroll
of this city further.

5/08.03.01

TX-051

08 03 01 **Comment Number 5**

The Department of Energy acknowledges the commentor's support of Pantex. Decisions related to future missions at Pantex will be based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.