Comment Form

These comments apply to the following documents:
- ☑ Storage and Disposition Draft PEIS
- ☐ Stockpile Stewardship and Management Draft PEIS
- ☐ Pantex Site-Wide Draft EIS

United States Department of Energy

NAME: (Optional) JOHN M. RYSKAMP
ADDRESS: 189 COMMONS IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401
TELEPHONE: (208) 524-4677

My choice for Pu disposition is to burn Pu in existing Light Water Reactors and producing electricity as a result. This will provide a significant return on tax-payer dollars. In addition, this beneficial use of plutonium will allow the U.S. to gain more knowledge in this area and maintain our core competencies in the nuclear field. The PEIS demonstrates that the "existing reactor" option is better than most from the environmental standpoint. The U.S. taxpayers have made an enormous investment in the production of plutonium. To me it is a sin to just throw the Plutonium away via the Deep Borehole or Immobilization options. Also the Borehole and Immobilization options will probably not be acceptable to the Russians. We need to choose a disposal option that will also encourage the Russians to dispose of their plutonium. 1/08.03.01 2/01.03.00

ID-005

08 03 01 Comment Number 1

The Department of Energy acknowledges the commentor's support for the Existing LWR Alternative. Decisions on disposition of weapons-usable fissile materials will be based upon environmental analyses, technical and economic studies, national policy considerations, and public input.

01 03 00 Comment Number 2

The Department of Energy believes that the process for making decisions, including national policy considerations, will provide the basis for implementing Pu disposition actions that will encourage other nations to dispose of their Pu. The technical disposition process may not be the same for all nations.